arXiv:1211.4453v1 [math.DG] 19 Nov 2012

HOMOGENEOUS 4-DIMENSIONAL KÄHLER–WEYL STRUCTURES

M. BROZOS-VÁZQUEZ, E. GARCÍA-RÍO, P. GILKEY,
AND R. VÁZQUEZ-LORENZO

Abstract. Any pseudo-Hermitian or para-Hermitian manifold of dimension 4 admits a unique Kähler–Weyl structure; this structure is locally conformally Kähler if and only if the alternating Ricci tensor ρ_a vanishes. The tensor ρ_a takes values in a certain representation space. In this paper, we show that any algebraic possibility Ξ in this representation space can in fact be geometrically realized by a left-invariant Kähler–Weyl structure on a 4-dimensional Lie group in either the pseudo-Hermitian or the para-Hermitian setting. MSC 2010: 53A15, 53C15, 15A72.

1. Introduction

Let M be a smooth manifold of dimension $m = 2\bar{m} \geq 4$ with $H^1(M;\mathbb{R}) = 0$; we are only really interested in local theory so this cohomology vanishing condition poses no real restriction. Let ∇ be a torsion free connection on the tangent bundle of M, and let g be a pseudo-Riemannian metric on M of signature (p, q).

1.1. Weyl structures.

The triple (M, g, ∇) is said to be a *Weyl* structure and ∇ is said to be a *Weyl connection* if there exists a smooth 1-form ϕ on M so that

$$\nabla g = -2\phi \otimes g\,.$$

Weyl [34] used these geometries in an attempt to unify gravity with electromagnetism – although this approach failed for physical reasons, the resulting geometries are still of importance and there is a vast literature on the subject. See, for example, [1, 10, 14, 15, 20, 21]; note that the indefinite signature setting is of particular importance [3, 11, 20, 22] as is the complex setting [18, 19, 23]. The field is a vast one and we only cite a few representative recent examples. We introduce the following notational conventions and follow the treatment of [2] (Section 6.5) which is based on work of [6, 13, 28, 29]. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be the curvature operator of a Weyl connection ∇ and let R be the associated curvature tensor:

$$\mathcal{R}(x,y)z := (\nabla_x\nabla_y - \nabla_y\nabla_x - \nabla_{[x,y]})z \text{ and } R(x,y,z,w) := g(\mathcal{R}(x,y)z,w)\,.$$

Let ρ be the *Ricci tensor*, let ρ_a be the *alternating Ricci tensor*, and let ρ_s be the *symmetric Ricci tensor*:

$$\begin{aligned}&\rho(x,y) := \operatorname{Tr}\{z \to \mathcal{R}(z,x)y\}, \qquad \rho_a(x,y) = \tfrac{1}{2}(\rho(x,y) - \rho(y,x)),\\ &\rho_s(x,y) = \tfrac{1}{2}(\rho(x,y) + \rho(y,x))\,.\end{aligned}$$

We have the symmetries:

$$\begin{aligned}&R(x,y,z,w) + R(y,x,z,w) = 0,\\ &R(x,y,z,w) + R(y,z,x,w) + R(z,x,y,w) = 0,\\ &R(x,y,z,w) + R(x,y,w,z) = -\tfrac{4}{m}\rho_a(x,y)g(z,w)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{1.a}$$

This is a conformal theory; if $\tilde{g} = e^{2f}g$ is a conformally equivalent metric, then $(M, \tilde{g}, \nabla)$ is again a Weyl structure with associated 1-form $\tilde{\phi} := \phi - df$. The

Weyl structure is said to be *trivial* (or *integrable*) if ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of a conformally equivalent metric or, equivalently (given our assumption that $H^1(M;\mathbb{R})=0$), if $d\phi=0$. The following formula shows that the Weyl structure is trivial if and only if $\rho_a=0$:

$$d\phi=-\tfrac{2}{m}\rho_a\,. \tag{1.b}$$

1.2. **Complex manifolds.** Let J_- be an almost complex structure on M, i.e. an automorphism of the tangent bundle TM so that $J_-^2=-\operatorname{Id}$. We say that J_- is *integrable* if there exist local coordinates $(x_1,...,x_{\bar m},y_1,...,y_{\bar m})$ on a neighborhood of any point of M so that

$$J_-\partial_{x_i}=\partial_{y_i}\quad\text{and}\quad J_-\partial_{y_i}=-\partial_{x_i}\,.$$

We define the *Nijenhuis tensor* by setting:

$$N_{J_-}(x,y):=[x,y]+J_-[J_-x,y]+J_-[x,J_-y]-[J_-x,J_-y]\,.$$

Then J_- is integrable if and only if N_{J_-} vanishes. Let $T_{\mathbb{C}}M:=TM\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}$ be the complexified tangent bundle. Let $\mathcal{W}_\pm$ be the $\pm\sqrt{-1}$ eigenbundles of J_-:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}_\pm&:=\left\{Z\in T_{\mathbb{C}}M: J_-Z=\pm\sqrt{-1}Z\right\}\\&=\mathbb{C}\cdot\left\{E\mp\sqrt{-1}J_-E\right\}_{E\in TM}\,.\end{aligned}\tag{1.c}$$

The distribution $\mathcal{W}_-$ (or, equivalently, $\mathcal{W}_+=\bar{\mathcal{W}}_-$) determines the almost complex structure J_-. Furthermore, J_- is integrable if and only if the complex Frobenius condition is satisfied:

$$[C^\infty(\mathcal{W}_-),C^\infty(\mathcal{W}_-)]\subset C^\infty(\mathcal{W}_-)\,.$$

1.3. **Para-complex manifolds.** Let J_+ be an almost para-complex structure on M, i.e. an automorphism J_+ of TM so that $J_+^2=\operatorname{Id}$ and so that $\operatorname{Tr}(J_+)=0$. We say that J_+ is *integrable* if there exist local coordinates $(x_1,...,x_{\bar m},y_1,...,y_{\bar m})$ on a neighborhood of any point of M so that

$$J_+\partial_{x_i}=\partial_{y_i}\quad\text{and}\quad J_+\partial_{y_i}=\partial_{x_i}\quad\text{for}\quad 1\le i\le\bar m\,.$$

We form the real eigenbundles

$$\mathcal{W}_\pm:=\{X\in C^\infty(TM):J_+X=\pm X\}=\{E\pm J_+E\}_{E\in TM}\,.$$

Then J_+ is integrable if and only if $[C^\infty(\mathcal{W}_\pm),C^\infty(\mathcal{W}_\pm)]\subset C^\infty(\mathcal{W}_\pm)$; in contrast to the complex setting, both conditions are required. The Nijenhuis tensor in this context is defined by

$$N_{J_+}(x,y):=[x,y]-J_+[J_+x,y]-J_+[x,J_+y]+[J_+x,J_+y]\,;$$

the two distributions $\{\mathcal{W}_+,\mathcal{W}_-\}$ determine J_+ and the para-complex structure is integrable if and only if $N_{J_+}=0$.

1.4. **(Para)-Kähler–Weyl structures.** Let g be a pseudo-Riemannian metric on M of signature (p,q). In the complex setting, we assume that J_- is almost pseudo-Hermitian (i.e. $J_-g=g$) and in the para-complex setting, we assume that J_+ is almost para-Hermitian (i.e. $J_+g=-g$); here, we extend $J_\pm$ to act naturally on tensors of all types. We shall use the notation $J_\pm$ as it is a convenient formalism for discussing both geometries in a parallel format; we shall never, however, be considering both the complex setting $(-)$ and the para-complex setting $(+)$ at the same moment.

Let (M,g,∇) be a Weyl structure and let $(M,g,J_\pm)$ be a pseudo-Hermitian $(-)$ or a para-Hermitian $(+)$ structure. We say that the quadruple $(M,g,\nabla,J_\pm)$ is a *Kähler–Weyl* structure if $\nabla J_\pm=0$; this necessarily implies that $J_\pm$ is integrable so we restrict to this setting henceforth. We then have the additional curvature symmetry:

$$R(x,y,J_\pm z,J_\pm w)=\mp R(x,y,z,w)\,. \tag{1.d}$$

We say that the Kähler–Weyl structure is *trivial* (or *integrable*) if ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of some conformally equivalent Kähler metric. As the Kähler–Weyl structure is trivial if and only if the alternating Ricci tensor $\rho_a=0$, attention is focused on this tensor. Pedersen, Poon, and Swann [27] used work of Vaisman [32, 33] to establish the following result in the positive definite setting; the extension to the pseudo-Hermitian or to the para-Hermitian setting is immediate (see, for example, the discussion in [2]):

Theorem 1.1. *If $m>4$, then any Kähler–Weyl structure is trivial.*

Thus only dimension $m=4$ is interesting in this theory. Let

$$\Omega(x,y):=g(x,J_\pm y)$$

denote the Kähler form. Let δ be the co-derivative. If $\star$ is the *Hodge operator* and if $\Omega_{ij;k}$ are the components of $\nabla^g\Omega$, then the *Lee form* $\delta\Omega$ is given by:

$$\delta\Omega=-\star d\star\Omega=g^{ij}\Omega_{ij;k}dx^k\,.$$

Note that $J_\pm\delta\Omega$ is called the *anti-Lee form.* We refer to Section 3.1 for further details. The following result was established [16] in the Riemannian setting; the proof extends without change to this more general context – we also refer to [8, 9] for another treatment and to [12] for related material.

Theorem 1.2. *Every para-Hermitian (+) or pseudo-Hermitian (−) manifold of dimension 4 admits a unique Kähler–Weyl structure where we have that $\phi=\pm\frac{1}{2}J_\pm\delta\Omega$, $\nabla_xy:=\nabla^g_xy+\phi(x)y+\phi(y)x-g(x,y)\phi^\star$ (here $\phi^\star$ is the dual vector field), and $\rho_a=\mp dJ_\pm\delta\Omega=-2d\phi$.*

1.5. **The (para)-unitary group.** We study the quadruple $(T_PM,g_P,J_{\pm,P},R_P)$ where P is a point of a Kähler–Weyl manifold. We shall eventually be interested in the homogeneous setting and thus the point P will be inessential. We pass to the algebraic setting and work abstractly. Let $(V,\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle,J_\pm)$ be a pseudo-Hermitian (−) or a para-Hermitian (+) vector space. Introduce the following structure groups:

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{O}=\mathcal{O}(V,\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle):=\{T\in\mathrm{GL}(V):T^*\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle=\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle\},\\&\mathcal{U}=\mathcal{U}(V,\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle,J_\pm):=\{T\in\mathcal{O}:TJ_\pm=J_\pm T\},\\&\mathcal{U}^\star=\mathcal{U}^\star(V,\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle,J_\pm):=\{T\in\mathcal{O}:TJ_\pm=J_\pm T\text{ or }TJ_\pm=-J_\pm T\}\,.\end{aligned}$$

There is a natural $\mathbb{Z}_2$ valued character χ of $\mathcal{U}^\star$ so that

$$TJ_\pm=\chi(T)\cdot J_\pm T\quad\text{for}\quad T\in\mathcal{U}^\star\,.$$

We let $\mathcal{O}_0$ and $\mathcal{U}_0$ denote the connected component of the identity.

These groups act on tensors of all types. Let $1\!\!1$ be the trivial $\mathcal{U}^\star$ module and, by an abuse of notation, let χ be the linear representation space corresponding to the character given above. We define the following modules:

$$\begin{aligned}&S^2_{0,\mp}=\{\theta\in S^2:J_\pm\theta=\mp\theta\text{ and }\theta\perp\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle\},\quad S^2_\pm=\{\theta\in S^2:J_\pm\theta=\pm\theta\},\\&\Lambda^2_{0,\mp}=\{\theta\in\Lambda^2:J_\pm\theta=\mp\theta\text{ and }\theta\perp\Omega\},\qquad\Lambda^2_\pm=\{\theta\in\Lambda^2:J_\pm\theta=\pm\theta\}.\end{aligned}$$

The $\mathcal{O}$ module decomposition $V^*\otimes V^*=\Lambda^2\oplus S^2$ is an orthogonal direct sum of the alternating and symmetric 2-tensors. We may further decompose:

$$\begin{aligned}&\Lambda^2=\chi\oplus\Lambda^2_{0,+}\oplus\Lambda^2_-,\ S^2=1\!\!1\oplus S^2_{0,+}\oplus S^2_-\quad\text{(complex setting)},\\&\Lambda^2=\chi\oplus\Lambda^2_{0,-}\oplus\Lambda^2_+,\ S^2=1\!\!1\oplus S^2_{0,-}\oplus S^2_+\quad\text{(para-complex setting)}\,.\end{aligned}$$

The decompositions of Λ^2 and S^2 given above are into irreducible and inequivalent $\mathcal{U}^\star$ modules. In the para-Hermitian setting, the modules are not irreducible if we replace $\mathcal{U}^\star$ by $\mathcal{U}$. In the Hermitian setting, these modules are still irreducible but not inequivalent if we replace $\mathcal{U}^\star$ by $\mathcal{U}$. Thus $\mathcal{U}^\star$ is the appropriate structure group for our purposes . The space of *algebraic Kähler–Weyl curvature tensors* is given by $\mathfrak{K}_{\mathfrak{W}} \subset \otimes^4 V^*$ is defined by imposing the symmetries of Equation (1.a) and Equation (1.d). There is [2, 8] an orthogonal direct sum decomposition of $\mathfrak{K}_{\mathfrak{W}}$ into inequivalent and irreducible $\mathcal{U}^\star$-modules:

$$\mathfrak{K}_{\mathfrak{W}} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus W_3 \oplus L^2_{0,+} \oplus L^2_- & \text{(complex setting)} \\ W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus W_3 \oplus L^2_{0,-} \oplus L^2_+ & \text{(para-complex setting)} \end{array} \right\}.$$

We have $W_3 = \ker(\rho) \cap \mathfrak{K}_{\mathfrak{W}}$ and, furthermore, that ρ_s and ρ_a define $\mathcal{U}^\star$ module isomorphisms [2, 7, 8]:

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\rho): W_1 \xrightarrow{\approx} \mathbb{1}, \quad \rho_s: W_2 \xrightarrow{\approx} S^2_{0,\mp}, \quad \rho_a: L^2_{0,\mp} \xrightarrow{\approx} \Lambda^2_{0,\mp}, \quad \rho_a: L^2_{\pm} \xrightarrow{\approx} \Lambda^2_{\pm}.$$

We note for further reference that in dimension 4 we have:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \dim\{W_1\} = 1, & \dim\{W_2\} = 3, & \dim\{W_3\} = 5, \\ \dim\{\Lambda^2_{0,\mp}\} = 3, & \dim\{L^2_{\pm}\} = 2\,. & \end{array}$$

1.6. **Lie groups.** Let G be a 4-dimensional Lie group which is equipped with an integrable left invariant complex structure (resp. para-complex structure) and a left invariant pseudo-Hermitian metric (resp. para-Hermitian metric). Then the associated Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is equipped with an almost complex structure (resp. para-complex structure) with vanishing Nijenhuis tensor and a pseudo-Hermitian (resp. para-Hermitian) inner product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$. Conversely, given $(\mathfrak{g}, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle, J_\pm)$ where $\mathfrak{g} = (\mathbb{R}^4, [\cdot,\cdot])$ is a 4-dimensional Lie algebra equipped with an integrable pseudo-Hermitian $(-)$ or para-Hermitian $(+)$ structure, there is a unique simply connected Lie group G with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ so $J_\pm$ induces a left invariant integrable complex (resp. para-complex) structure on G and $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ induces a left-invariant pseudo-Hermitian metric (resp. para-Hermitian metric) on G. Thus we can work in the algebraic context henceforth. Fix a pseudo-Hermitian $(-)$ or para-Hermitian $(+)$ vector space $(V, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle, J_\pm)$. Given $\Xi \in \Lambda^2_{0,+} \oplus \Lambda^2_-$ or $\Xi \in \Lambda^2_{0,-} \oplus \Lambda^2_+$, we shall try to define a bracket $[\cdot,\cdot]$ so that $J_\pm$ is integrable and so that if ∇ is the associated Kähler–Weyl connection, then $\rho_a = \Xi$; by Equation (1.b) and Theorem 1.2 one has:

$$\rho_a = -2d\phi = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} dJ_-\delta\Omega \text{ if } J_- \text{ is complex} \\ -dJ_+\delta\Omega \text{ if } J_+ \text{ is para-complex} \end{array} \right\}.$$

The following theorem is the fundamental result of this paper (we refer to [2] for a survey of other results concerning geometric realizability):

Theorem 1.3.

(1) *Let $(V, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle, J_-)$ be a 4-dimensional Hermitian vector space of signature $(0,4)$. Then every element of $\Lambda^2_{0,+} \oplus \Lambda^2_-$ is realizable by a 4-dimensional Hermitian Lie group.*

(2) *Let $(V, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle, J_+)$ be a 4-dimensional para-Hermitian vector space of signature $(2,2)$. Then every element of $\Lambda^2_{0,-} \oplus \Lambda^2_+$ is realizable by a 4-dimensional para-Hermitian Lie group.*

Remark 1.4. The corresponding geometrical realization question without the assumption of homogeneity was established previously in [7, 8]; the question at hand of providing homogeneous examples realizing all such tensors Ξ was posed to us by Prof. Alekseevsky and we are grateful to him for the suggestion. Related questions have been examined previously. See, for example, the discussion in [17] of homogeneous Einstein–Weyl structures on symmetric spaces, the discussion in [4] of (complex) 3-dimensional homogeneous metrics which admit Einstein–Weyl connections, and the discussion in [5] dealing with (among other matters) the 4-dimensional Einstein–Weyl equations in the homogeneous setting.

Here is a brief outline of the remainder of this paper. In Section 2, we recall some of the geometry of complex and para-complex Lie algebras and in Section 3, we establish Theorem 1.3.

2. A review of complex and para-complex geometry

2.1. The action of the unitary group on $\Lambda^2_{0,\mp}\oplus\Lambda^2_{\pm}$.

If G is a Lie group, let G_0 be the connected component of the identity. The following is a useful fact; we omit the proof as it is an entirely elementary computation:

Lemma 2.1. *Let $(V,\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle,J_\pm)$ be a pseudo-Hermitian $(-)$ or a para-Hermitian $(+)$ vector space. The natural action of the unitary group $\mathcal{U}$ on $\Lambda^2_{0,\mp}\oplus\Lambda^2_{\pm}$ defines a surjective group homomorphism π from $\mathcal{U}_0$ to $\mathcal{O}_0(\Lambda^2_{0,\mp})\oplus\mathcal{O}_0(\Lambda^2_{\pm})$.*

2.2. Hermitian signature $(0,4)$.

Let $(V,\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle,J_-)$ be a 4-dimensional Hermitian vector space of signature $(0,4)$. Let $\{e_1,e_2,e_3,e_4\}$ be an orthonormal basis for V and let $\{e^1,e^2,e^3,e^4\}$ be the associated dual basis for V^*. We normalize the choice so that the complex structure J_- and diagonal inner products are given by:

$$\begin{aligned}&J_-e_1=e_2,\quad J_-e_2=-e_1,\quad J_-e_3=e_4,\quad J_-e_4=-e_3,\\&\langle e_1,e_1\rangle=\langle e_2,e_2\rangle=\langle e_3,e_3\rangle=\langle e_4,e_4\rangle=2,\\&\langle e^1,e^1\rangle=\langle e^2,e^2\rangle=\langle e^3,e^3\rangle=\langle e^4,e^4\rangle=\tfrac12.\end{aligned}$$

We define a complex basis $\{Z_1,Z_2,\bar Z_1,\bar Z_2\}$ for $V_{\mathbb{C}}:=V\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}$ and the corresponding complex dual basis $\{Z^1,Z^2,\bar Z^1,\bar Z^2\}$ for the complex dual space $V^*_{\mathbb{C}}$ by setting:

$$\begin{aligned}&Z_1:=\tfrac12(e_1-\sqrt{-1}e_2),&&Z_2:=\tfrac12(e_3-\sqrt{-1}e_4),\\&\bar Z_1:=\tfrac12(e_1+\sqrt{-1}e_2),&&\bar Z_2:=\tfrac12(e_3+\sqrt{-1}e_4),\\&Z^1:=\ (e^1+\sqrt{-1}e^2),&&Z^2:=\ (e^3+\sqrt{-1}e^4),\\&\bar Z^1:=\ (e^1-\sqrt{-1}e^2),&&\bar Z^2:=\ (e^3-\sqrt{-1}e^4).\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle Z_1,\bar Z_1\rangle=1,\ \langle Z^1,\bar Z^1\rangle=1,\ \langle Z_2,\bar Z_2\rangle=1,\ \langle Z^2,\bar Z^2\rangle=1,\\&J_-Z_1=\sqrt{-1}Z_1,&&J_-\bar Z_1=-\sqrt{-1}\bar Z_1,\\&J_-Z_2=\sqrt{-1}Z_2,&&J_-\bar Z_2=-\sqrt{-1}\bar Z_2,\\&J_-Z^1=\sqrt{-1}Z^1,&&J_-\bar Z^1=-\sqrt{-1}\bar Z^1,\\&J_-Z^2=\sqrt{-1}Z^2,&&J_-\bar Z^2=-\sqrt{-1}\bar Z^2.\end{aligned}$$

Because $\Omega(Z_j,\bar Z_j)=\langle Z_j,J_-\bar Z_j\rangle=-\sqrt{-1}$, the Kähler form is given by:

$$\Omega=-\sqrt{-1}\{Z^1\wedge\bar Z^1+Z^2\wedge\bar Z^2\}\,.$$

Let $[\cdot,\cdot]$ be a Lie bracket on $V_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then $[\cdot,\cdot]$ is integrable if and only if

$$[Z_1,Z_2]\in\operatorname{Span}\{Z_1,Z_2\}$$

and $[\cdot,\cdot]$ arises from an underlying real bracket on V if and only if

$$\overline{[x,y]}=[\bar x,\bar y]\text{ for all }x,y\in V_{\mathbb{C}}\,.$$

We define a basis $\{\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3\}$ for $\Lambda^2_{0,+}$ and a basis $\{\theta_4,\theta_5\}$ for Λ^2_- by setting:

$$\begin{aligned}&\theta_1:=\sqrt{-1}(Z^1\wedge\bar Z^1-Z^2\wedge\bar Z^2),&&\theta_2:=Z^1\wedge\bar Z^2+\bar Z^1\wedge Z^2,\\&\theta_3:=\sqrt{-1}(Z^1\wedge\bar Z^2-\bar Z^1\wedge Z^2),\\&\theta_4:=Z^1\wedge Z^2+\bar Z^1\wedge\bar Z^2,&&\theta_5:=\sqrt{-1}(Z^1\wedge Z^2-\bar Z^1\wedge\bar Z^2)\,.\end{aligned}$$

The collection $\{\theta_1, ..., \theta_5\}$ is an orthogonal set with the diagonal inner products given by:

$$\langle\theta_1,\theta_1\rangle = 2,\quad \langle\theta_2,\theta_2\rangle = 2,\quad \langle\theta_3,\theta_3\rangle = 2,\quad \langle\theta_4,\theta_4\rangle = 2,\quad \langle\theta_5,\theta_5\rangle = 2\,.$$

Consequently, $\Lambda^2_{0,+}$ has signature $(0,3)$ and Λ^2_- has signature $(0,2)$. Let

$$\Theta^{x,y} := \{\Xi \in \Lambda^2_{0,+} \oplus \Lambda^2_- : |\Xi_{0,+}|^2 = x \text{ and } |\Xi_-|^2 = y\}\,.$$

Lemma 2.2. *If* $(V, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle, J_-)$ *is a Hermitian inner product space of signature* $(0,4)$*, then* $\{\Theta^{x,y}\}_{x\geq 0, y\geq 0}$ *are the orbits of* $\mathcal{U}$ *acting on* $\Lambda^2_{0,+} \oplus \Lambda^2_-$*.*

Proof. The sets $\Theta^{x,y}$ are the product of a sphere of radius x in $\Lambda^2_{0,+}$ and a sphere of radius y in Λ^2_- and thus represent the orbits of $\mathcal{O}_0(\Lambda^2_{0,+}) \oplus \mathcal{O}_0(\Lambda^2_-)$ acting on $\Lambda^2_{0,+} \oplus \Lambda^2_-$. Consequently, by Lemma 2.1, $\mathcal{U}$ acts transitively on these sets. On the other hand, since $\mathcal{U}$ acts orthogonally, $\mathcal{U}$ preserves these sets. □

2.3. **Para-Hermitian signature** $(2,2)$**.** Let $(V, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle, J_+)$ be a para-Hermitian vector space of signature $(2,2)$. Choose a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ for V so that the basis is hyperbolic and so that J_+ is diagonalized:

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle e_1, e_3\rangle = \langle e_2, e_4\rangle = 1,\\ &J_+e_1 = e_1,\quad J_+e_2 = e_2,\quad J_+e_3 = -e_3,\quad J_+e_4 = -e_4\,.\end{aligned}$$

We then have that

$$\Omega = -e^1\wedge e^3 - e^2\wedge e^4\,.$$

A Lie bracket on V is integrable if and only if

$$[e_1, e_2] \in \operatorname{Span}\{e_1, e_2\} \quad \text{and} \quad [e_3, e_4] \in \operatorname{Span}\{e_3, e_4\}\,.$$

We define an orthogonal basis $\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3\}$ for $\Lambda^2_{0,-}$ and an orthogonal basis $\{\theta_4, \theta_5\}$ for Λ^2_+ by setting:

$$\begin{aligned}&\theta_1 := e^1\wedge e^3 - e^2\wedge e^4,\quad \theta_2 := e^1\wedge e^4 + e^2\wedge e^3,\quad \theta_3 := e^1\wedge e^4 - e^2\wedge e^3,\\ &\theta_4 := e^1\wedge e^2 + e^3\wedge e^4,\quad \theta_5 := e^1\wedge e^2 - e^3\wedge e^4.\end{aligned}$$

The diagonal inner products are given by:

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle\theta_1,\theta_1\rangle = -2,\quad \langle\theta_2,\theta_2\rangle = -2,\quad \langle\theta_3,\theta_3\rangle = 2,\\ &\langle\theta_4,\theta_4\rangle = 2,\quad \langle\theta_5,\theta_5\rangle = -2.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\Lambda^2_{0,-}$ has signature $(2,1)$ and Λ^2_+ has signature $(1,1)$.

Lemma 2.3. *Every orbit of the action of* $\mathcal{U}$ *on* $\Lambda^2_{0,-} \oplus \Lambda^2_+$ *contains a representative perpendicular to* θ_1*.*

Proof. $\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3\}$ is an orthogonal basis for $\Lambda^2_{0,-}$ where $\{\theta_1, \theta_2\}$ are timelike and θ_3 is spacelike. Lemma 2.3 follows from Lemma 2.1 since $\pi(\mathcal{U}_0)$ contains $\mathcal{O}_0(\Lambda^2_{0,-})$ and the corresponding assertion holds for this group. □

3. The proof of Theorem 1.3

In Section 3.1, we discuss the Hodge operator. In Section 3.2, we discuss a specific Lie algebra which will be used in Section 3.3 to prove Theorem 1.3 (2) and which will be used in Section 3.4 to prove Theorem 1.3 (1).

3.1. **The Hodge $\star$ operator.** Let $\{\Psi_1, \Psi_2, \Psi_3, \Psi_4\}$ be a basis for $\mathbb{C}^4$ and let $\{\Psi^1, \Psi^2, \Psi^3, \Psi^4\}$ be the corresponding dual basis for the dual vector space. Take a hyperbolic metric whose non-zero components are defined by:

$$\langle \Psi_1, \Psi_3 \rangle = \langle \Psi_2, \Psi_4 \rangle = \langle \Psi^1, \Psi^3 \rangle = \langle \Psi^2, \Psi^4 \rangle = 1\,.$$

This is a convenient notation as it is consistent with previous sections:

(1) For Section 2.2, set $\Psi_1 = Z_1$, $\Psi_2 = Z_2$, $\Psi_3 = \bar{Z}_1$, and $\Psi_4 = \bar{Z}_2$.
(2) For Section 2.3, set $\Psi_1 = e_1$, $\Psi_2 = e_2$, $\Psi_3 = e_3$, and $\Psi_4 = e_4$.

Let $\star$ be the *Hodge operator*, let $d\nu = \Psi^1 \wedge \Psi^3 \wedge \Psi^2 \wedge \Psi^4$ be the volume form, and let δ be the co-derivative. We use the identity

$$\omega_1 \wedge \star\omega_2 = g(\omega_1, \omega_2) d\nu$$

to compute:

$$\begin{array}{lll}
\star\Psi^1 = -\Psi^1 \wedge \Psi^2 \wedge \Psi^4, & \star\Psi^2 = \quad \Psi^1 \wedge \Psi^2 \wedge \Psi^3, & \star\Psi^3 = -\Psi^2 \wedge \Psi^3 \wedge \Psi^4, \\
\star\Psi^4 = \quad \Psi^1 \wedge \Psi^3 \wedge \Psi^4, & \star\Psi^1 \wedge \Psi^2 = -\Psi^1 \wedge \Psi^2, & \star\Psi^1 \wedge \Psi^3 = -\Psi^2 \wedge \Psi^4, \\
\star\Psi^1 \wedge \Psi^4 = \quad \Psi^1 \wedge \Psi^4, & \star\Psi^2 \wedge \Psi^3 = \quad \Psi^2 \wedge \Psi^3, & \star\Psi^2 \wedge \Psi^4 = -\Psi^1 \wedge \Psi^3, \\
\star\Psi^3 \wedge \Psi^4 = -\Psi^3 \wedge \Psi^4, & \star\Psi^1 \wedge \Psi^2 \wedge \Psi^3 = -\Psi^2, & \star\Psi^1 \wedge \Psi^2 \wedge \Psi^4 = \quad \Psi^1, \\
\star\Psi^1 \wedge \Psi^3 \wedge \Psi^4 = -\Psi^4, & \star\Psi^2 \wedge \Psi^3 \wedge \Psi^4 = \quad \Psi^3. &
\end{array}$$

3.2. **An example:** We define a complex Lie algebra by setting:

$$\begin{array}{lll}
[\Psi_1, \Psi_2] = \varepsilon_1 \Psi_1, & [\Psi_1, \Psi_4] = \alpha_3 \Psi_1, & [\Psi_2, \Psi_3] = -\tilde{\alpha}_3 \Psi_3, \\
[\Psi_2, \Psi_4] = \alpha_2 \Psi_1 - \tilde{\alpha}_2 \Psi_3, & [\Psi_3, \Psi_4] = \tilde{\varepsilon}_1 \Psi_3. &
\end{array}$$

We verify that the Jacobi identity is satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned}
&[[\Psi_1, \Psi_2], \Psi_3] + [[\Psi_2, \Psi_3], \Psi_1] + [[\Psi_3, \Psi_1], \Psi_2] \\
&\quad = \varepsilon_1 [\Psi_1, \Psi_3] - \tilde{\alpha}_3 [\Psi_3, \Psi_1] + 0 = 0 + 0 + 0 = 0, \\
&[[\Psi_1, \Psi_2], \Psi_4] + [[\Psi_2, \Psi_4], \Psi_1] + [[\Psi_4, \Psi_1], \Psi_2] \\
&\quad = \varepsilon_1 [\Psi_1, \Psi_4] + [\alpha_2 \Psi_1 - \tilde{\alpha}_2 \Psi_3, \Psi_1] - \alpha_3 [\Psi_1, \Psi_2] \\
&\quad = \varepsilon_1 \alpha_3 \Psi_1 + 0 - \alpha_3 \varepsilon_1 \Psi_1 = 0, \\
&[[\Psi_1, \Psi_3], \Psi_4] + [[\Psi_3, \Psi_4], \Psi_1] + [[\Psi_4, \Psi_1], \Psi_3] \\
&\quad = 0 + \tilde{\varepsilon}_1 [\Psi_3, \Psi_1] - \alpha_3 [\Psi_1, \Psi_3] = 0 + 0 + 0 = 0, \\
&[[\Psi_2, \Psi_3], \Psi_4] + [[\Psi_3, \Psi_4], \Psi_2] + [[\Psi_4, \Psi_2], \Psi_3] \\
&\quad = -\tilde{\alpha}_3 [\Psi_3, \Psi_4] + \tilde{\varepsilon}_1 [\Psi_3, \Psi_2] - [\alpha_2 \Psi_1 - \tilde{\alpha}_2 \Psi_3, \Psi_3] \\
&\quad = -\tilde{\alpha}_3 \tilde{\varepsilon}_1 \Psi_3 + \tilde{\varepsilon}_1 \tilde{\alpha}_3 \Psi_3 + 0 = 0\,.
\end{aligned}$$

We define a para-complex structure J_+ setting:

$$J_+ \Psi^1 = \Psi^1, \quad J_+ \Psi^2 = \Psi^2, \quad J_+ \Psi^3 = -\Psi^3, \quad J_+ \Psi^4 = -\Psi^4.$$

We then have

$$\Omega_+ := -(\Psi^1 \wedge \Psi^3 + \Psi^2 \wedge \Psi^4).$$

We use the formula $d\Psi^i(\Psi_j, \Psi_k) = -\Psi^i([\Psi_j, \Psi_k])$ to compute:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
d\Psi^1 = -\epsilon_1 \Psi^1 \wedge \Psi^2 - \alpha_3 \Psi^1 \wedge \Psi^4 - \alpha_2 \Psi^2 \wedge \Psi^4, & d\Psi^2 = 0, \\
d\Psi^3 = \quad \tilde{\alpha}_3 \Psi^2 \wedge \Psi^3 + \tilde{\alpha}_2 \Psi^2 \wedge \Psi^4 - \tilde{\varepsilon}_1 \Psi^3 \wedge \Psi^4, & d\Psi^4 = 0.
\end{array}$$

Since $\delta = - \star d \star$ and $\star\Omega = -\Omega$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\Omega_+ &= - \star d \star \Omega_+ = - \star d(\Psi^1 \wedge \Psi^3 + \Psi^2 \wedge \Psi^4) \\
&= \star\{(\varepsilon_1 \Psi^1 \wedge \Psi^2 + \alpha_3 \Psi^1 \wedge \Psi^4 + \alpha_2 \Psi^2 \wedge \Psi^4) \wedge \Psi^3\} \\
&\quad + \star\{\Psi^1 \wedge (\tilde{\alpha}_3 \Psi^2 \wedge \Psi^3 + \tilde{\alpha}_2 \Psi^2 \wedge \Psi^4 - \tilde{\varepsilon}_1 \Psi^3 \wedge \Psi^4)\} \\
&= \tilde{\alpha}_2 \Psi^1 - (\varepsilon_1 + \tilde{\alpha}_3) \Psi^2 - \alpha_2 \Psi^3 + (\tilde{\varepsilon}_1 + \alpha_3) \Psi^4,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} dJ_+\delta\Omega_+ &= d\{\tilde\alpha_2\Psi^1-(\varepsilon_1+\tilde\alpha_3)\Psi^2+\alpha_2\Psi^3-(\tilde\varepsilon_1+\alpha_3)\Psi^4\}\\ &= -\tilde\alpha_2\varepsilon_1\Psi^1\wedge\Psi^2-\tilde\alpha_2\alpha_3\Psi^1\wedge\Psi^4-\tilde\alpha_2\alpha_2\Psi^2\wedge\Psi^4\\ &\quad +\alpha_2\tilde\alpha_3\Psi^2\wedge\Psi^3+\alpha_2\tilde\alpha_2\Psi^2\wedge\Psi^4-\alpha_2\tilde\varepsilon_1\Psi^3\wedge\Psi^4 \end{aligned}$$

which by Theorem 1.2 yields

$$\rho_a=\tilde\alpha_2\varepsilon_1\Psi^1\wedge\Psi^2+\tilde\alpha_2\alpha_3\Psi^1\wedge\Psi^4-\alpha_2\tilde\alpha_3\Psi^2\wedge\Psi^3+\alpha_2\tilde\varepsilon_1\Psi^3\wedge\Psi^4. \tag{3.a}$$

3.3. **The proof of Theorem 1.3 (2).** We now deal with the para-Hermitian setting. Let $\Xi\in\Lambda^2_{0,-}\oplus\Lambda^2_+$. By Lemma 2.3, we may assume that the coefficient of $\theta_1=e^1\wedge e^3-e^2\wedge e^4$ in Ξ vanishes, i.e.

$$\Xi=\mu_{12}e^1\wedge e^2+\mu_{14}e^1\wedge e^4+\mu_{23}e^2\wedge e^3+\mu_{34}e^3\wedge e^4\,.$$

We must show that Ξ is geometrically realizable by a 4-dimensional para-Hermitian Lie group. We consider the Lie algebra of Section 3.2 where the parameters $\{\varepsilon_1,\tilde\varepsilon_1,\alpha_2,\tilde\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\tilde\alpha_3\}$ are real and where we set $\Psi_i=e_i$; this Lie algebra is modeled on $A_{2,2}\oplus A_{2,2}$ in the classification of [26] for generic values of the parameters. We apply Equation (3.a). We set $\alpha_2=\tilde\alpha_2=1$. The remaining parameters are then determined; we complete the proof by taking:

$$\varepsilon_1=\mu_{12},\ \alpha_3=\mu_{14},\ \tilde\alpha_3=-\mu_{23},\ \tilde\varepsilon_1=\mu_{34}. \qquad \square$$

3.4. **The proof of Theorem 1.3 (1).** The question of realizability is invariant under the action of the structure group $\mathcal{U}$. Thus by Lemma 2.2, only the norms of $|\Xi_{0,+}|$ and $|\Xi_-|$ are relevant in establishing Theorem 1.3 (1). Again, we use the Lie algebra of Section 3.2. We set $\Psi_1=Z_1$, $\Psi_2=Z_2$, $\Psi_3=\bar Z_1$, $\Psi_4=\bar Z_2$, and take $\tilde\varepsilon_1=\bar\varepsilon_1$, $\tilde\alpha_2=\bar\alpha_2$, and $\tilde\alpha_3=\bar\alpha_3$ to define an underlying real Algebra which is modeled on $A_{4,12}$ in the classification of [26] for generic values of the parameters; see also related work in [24, 25, 30, 31]. We set $J_-:=\sqrt{-1}J_+$. We then have $\Omega_-=\sqrt{-1}\Omega_+$ so

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi_-=-\tfrac12 J_-\delta\star\Omega_-=\tfrac12 J_+\delta\star\Omega_+=\phi_+,\\ &\rho_a=\bar\alpha_2\varepsilon_1 Z^1\wedge Z^2+\bar\alpha_2\alpha_3 Z^1\wedge\bar Z^2-\alpha_2\bar\alpha_3 Z^2\wedge\bar Z^1+\alpha_2\bar\varepsilon_1\bar Z^1\wedge\bar Z^2,\\ &|\Xi_{0,+}|^2=2|\alpha_2|^2|\alpha_3|^2,\qquad |\Xi_-|^2=2|\alpha_2|^2|\varepsilon_1|^2\,. \end{aligned}$$

If we set $\alpha_2=1$, $\quad\alpha_3=\sqrt{x/2}$, and $\varepsilon_1=\sqrt{y/2}$, then $\rho_a\in\Theta^{x,y}$ as desired. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Research of the authors partially supported by project MTM2009-07756 (Spain) and by INCITE09 207 151 PR (Spain).

REFERENCES

[1] F. Belgun and A. Moroianu, "Weyl-parallel forms, conformal products and Einstein-Weyl manifolds", *Asian J. Math.* **15** (2011), 499–520.

[2] M. Brozos-Vázquez, P. Gilkey, and S. Nikčević, "Geometric realizations of curvature", Imperial College Press (2012).

[3] M. Dunajski, J. Gutowski, W. Sabra, and P. Tod, "Cosmological Einstein-Maxwell instantons and Euclidean supersymmetry: anti-self-dual solutions", *Classical Quantum Gravity* **28** (2011), no. 2, 025007, 16 pp.

[4] M. Eastwood and K. P. Tod, "Local constraints on Einstein-Weyl geometries: the 3-dimensional case", *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **18** (2000), 1–27.

[5] M. Eastwood and K. P. Tod, "Local constraints on Einstein-Weyl geometries", *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **491** (1997), 183–198.

[6] G. Ganchev and S. Ivanov, "Semi-symmetric W-metric connections and the W-conformal group", *God. Sofij. Univ. Fak. Mat. Inform.* **81** (1994), 181–193.

[7] P. Gilkey and S. Nikčević, "Kähler and para-Kähler curvature Weyl manifolds", *Math Debrecen* **80** (2012) 369–384.

[8] P. Gilkey and S. Nikčević, "(para)-Kähler Weyl Structures", to appear Proceedings of GELOGRA, http://arxiv.org/abs/1204.0724.

[9] P. Gilkey and S. Nikčević, "Kähler–Weyl manifolds of dimension 4", http://arxiv.org/abs/1109.4532.

[10] P. Gilkey, S. Nikčević, and U. Simon, "Curvature properties of Weyl geometries", *Results Math.* **59** (2011), 523–544.

[11] D. Grumiller and R. Jackiw, "Einstein-Weyl from Kaluza-Klein", *Phys. Lett. A* **372** (2008), 2547–2551.

[12] R. Harvey and B. Lawson, "Split special Lagrangian geometry" (2010), arXiv:1007.0450.

[13] H. Hayden, "Sub-spaces of a space with torsion", *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. II*, **34** (1932), 27–50.

[14] N. Honda and F. Nakata, "Minitwistor spaces, Severi varieties, and Einstein-Weyl structure", *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **39** (2011), 293–323.

[15] M. Kalafat, "Geometric invariant theory and Einstein-Weyl geometry", *Expo. Math.* **29** (2011), 220–230.

[16] G. Kokarev and D. Kotschick, "Fibrations and fundamental groups of Kähler–Weyl manifolds", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **138** (2010), 997–1010.

[17] M. Kerr, "Homogeneous Einstein–Weyl structures on symmetric spaces", *Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry* **15** (1997), 437–445.

[18] S. Kundu and M. Tarafdar, "Almost Hermitian manifolds admitting Einstein–Weyl connection", *Lobachevskii J. Math.* **33** (2012), 5–9.

[19] C. LeBrun and L. J. Mason, "The Einstein-Weyl equations, scattering maps, and holomorphic disks", *Math. Res. Lett.* **16** (2009), 291–301.

[20] D. R. Lunsford, "Gravitation and electrodynamics over SO(3,3)", *Internat. J. Theoret. Phys.* **43** (2004), 161–177.

[21] P. Matzeu, "Closed Einstein-Weyl structures on compact Sasakian and cosymplectic manifolds", *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* **54** (2011), 149–160.

[22] P. Meessena, T. Ortnb, and A. Palomo-Lozano, "On supersymmetric Lorentzian Einstein–Weyl spaces", *J. Geom. Phys.* **2** (2012), 301–311.

[23] L. Ornea and M. Verbitsky "Einstein-Weyl structures on complex manifolds and conformal version of Monge-Ampère equation", *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie (N.S.)* **51(99)** (2008), 339–353.

[24] G. Ovando, "Complex, symplectic and Kaehler structures on four dimensional Lie groups", http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0309146.

[25] G. Ovando, "Indefinite pseudo-Kähler metrics in dimension four", *J. Lie Theory* **16** (2006), 371–391.

[26] J. Patera, R. T. Sharp, P. Winternitz, and Z. Zassenhaus, "Invariants of real low dimensional Lie algebras", J. Math. Phys. **17** (1976), 986-994.

[27] H. Pedersen, Y. Poon, and A. Swann, "The Einstein-Weyl equations in complex and quaternionic geometry", *Differential Geom. Appl.* **3** (1993), 309–321.

[28] H. Pedersen and A. Swann, "Riemannian submersions, four manifolds, and Einstein–Weyl geometry", *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (1991) **66**, 381–399.

[29] H. Pedersen and K. Tod, "Three-dimensional Einstein–Weyl geometry", *Adv. Math.* **97** (1993), 74–109.

[30] A Rezaei-Aghdam and M Sephid, "Complex and bi-Hermitian structures on four dimensional real Lie algebras", *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **43** (2010) 325210 (14pp).

[31] V. Svensson, "Curvatures of Lie Groups", Bachelor's thesis, Lund University 2009:K1.

[32] I. Vaisman, "Generalized Hopf manifolds", *Geom. Dedicata* **13** (1982), 231-255.

[33] I. Vaisman, "A survey of generalized Hopf manifolds", *Differential Geometry on Homogeneous Spaces*, Proc. Conf. Torino Italy (1983), Rend. Semin. Mat. Torino, Fasc. Spec. 205–221.

[34] H. Weyl, "Space-Time-Matter", Dover Publ. 1922.

MB: Department of Mathematics, University of A Coruña, Spain
E-mail address: `mbrozos@udc.es`

EG and RV: Faculty of Mathematics, University of Santiago de Compostela, Spain
E-mail address: `eduardo.garcia.rio@usc.es and ravazlor@edu.xunta.es`

PG: Mathematics Department, University of Oregon, Eugene OR 97403, USA
E-mail address: `gilkey@uoregon.edu`